LCD Tech Team

12/8/2020

Attendees: Kathy, Danielle, Peggy, Adam, Trevor, Ken, Sean, Natalie

Welcome, Kathy!/Introductions

- Kathy Zeller Aldo Leopold Institute working on modeling connectivity for focal species throughout the Crown
- Danielle Gov't of AB Bio Diversity model Marxan Modeling
- Peggy Planner in Gov't of AB Environment and Rec
- Adam Collingwood Parks Canada Waterton Lakes National Park remote sensing
- Trevor NCC transition from AB region taking a role with BC region doing conservation impact analysis

Review previous action items (All)

- Danielle will send Natalie and Sean another possible distribution map for grizzly
- Adam will reach out to Clayton Apps and Tony Cleavanger
 - Clayton Apps collecting what data they are willing to share there is some stuff they won't be sharing, as they are actively working on it
 - haven't heard from Tony Cleavanger yet has access to some data from 2014 2015 again, sounds like they might be actively using that new data
 - Kathy is working with with these folks,
 - Kathy can reach out to Clayton and Tony about mesocarnivore data
- Natalie will forward craig's FWMIS request emails to peggy, and peggy will nudge Craig
- Adam will collect waterton datasets and send to natalie via email or drive
 - O Haven't gotten around to it yet just needs to budle stuff up and send
- Adam will ask national parks folks if they have access to BC data sets
 - Jacqueline Clare, M.Sc.; Data and Information Management Unit Lead |BC
 Conservation Data Centre; Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy
 ;778-698-3996; Jacqueline.Clare@gov.bc.ca
 - https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/environment/plants-animalsecosystems/conservation-data-centre/explore-cdc-data/known-locations-ofspecies-and-ecosystems-at-risk/cdc-imap-theme
- Danielle will reach out to her BC friend for possible BC data contacts
 - This was a last ditch effort
- Danielle will send spring snow cover layer extent is North America
- Natalie will send an email reminding Sean, Danielle, and Jason to schedule a meeting for end of next week (11/16)
 - Fantastic meeting, thank you danielle for organizing!

- In the process of approving a Data Sharing agreement between Jason and Nicole and CMP
 - As we start signing data sharing agreements how do we make sure we are being thoughtful and respectful with how data is being handled, but also that we are being upfront and efficient?
 - CMP end, Natalie and Sean drafted a DSA, shared back and forth with Jason and Niki, shared DSA agreement with Mary Riddle and Erin Sexton - what does that mean in terms of agency involvement and whether it would be covered if we shared that data with Kathy
 - expand data sharing agreements so can be used for connectivity as well as LCD - no solution other than talking to each other moving forward - would be helpful for researchers to have people coming at them from one entity rather than multiple
 - Natalie and Sean will connect with Kathy to determine how to efficiently manage DSAs
- O Discussion on how to model lynx and wolverine habitat spring snow cover and combine with a disturbance dataset (specifically linear features)
- Trevor will reach out to potential BC contact
 - Cindy McKale Trevor will bridge the gap, consult Cindy as needed
 - Should Trevor reach out to other data contacts?
 - Look at data sheet and see if you are able to fill in data gaps with the contacts that you have
 - Natalie will share google doc with folks

Start discussion of "Feature Targets" (sean)

- Leadership team meeting summary
 - Preliminary marxan outputs for 3 species (grizzly, wolverine, canada lynx) were displayed - for the state of montana
 - Since the leadership team meeting, we have the rest of the species data
 - For now, we are running 3 parallel models for MT, BC, AB since data is so different at least for the first iteration
 - On LT call on Dec 15 will be showing draft models for the MT area of the geography all features except connectivity (species and land cover types)
- As sean was drafting out models, he began to think more deeply about input parameters that you need to set up for marxan
 - Feature = thing on the landscape

- O How do we set targets?
 - In Marxan, you have to identify what your target is ie. 90% of optimal habitat, 65% of optimal habitat, 10% of optimal habitat
 - Target doesn't have to be the same for each one of our features
 - You could use legislation, resource planning, published literature, expert knowledge
- o Always a contentious issue to weight
 - One way to take pressure off targets similar to how you ran 3 targets, pick a range of different targets, have a stepwise process - not as much pressure on having a specific target
 - Creative ways to run parallel models as well as thinking about resources that we might go to for some of these things
 - All: Please keep the suggestions coming on how to approach setting targets

Next steps:

- Spending a lot of time crunching montana data it will probably not be the final set of data, but we're doing very diligent data documenting so it can easily be updated
- Over the next month or so, we will be running similar types of data prep for AB and BC, so when we meet again, not unlikely that we won't have a draft model for each of the jurisdictions
 - Parameterizing, cross jurisdictional challenges